

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,958	05/29/2001	Paul Boesch	Q63932 510		
75	90 05/31/2002				
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			EXAMINER		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20037-3213			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3752			
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		_		50			
		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/856,958		BOESCH, PAUL				
		Examiner	7	Art Unit				
		Christopher S. Kin	n 3	3752				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover	sheet with the cor	respondence ad	dress			
Period fo	• •	VIC CET TO EVO	IDE AMONTUS	- CROM				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adequated term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however within the statutory mining will apply and will expire Security and wall expire Security to the supplication to	er, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days w IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	r filed rill be considered timely mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. mmunication.			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	May 2001 .						
2a)□	•	his action is non-fin	al.					
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
,—	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	tion.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/oion Papers	or election requiren	nent.					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 29 May 2001 is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approve	d b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been recei	ved.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been recei	ved in Application	n No				
* (	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
					Lapplication)			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachmen		—		DTO 4400 D	/-X			
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary ( Notice of Informal Pa Other:					

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Preliminary amendment filed May 29, 2001 is acknowledged. The marked-up version shows claim 7 being amendment. This appears to be a typographical error. It has been considered as showing the marked-up version of amended claim 6.

### **Drawings**

- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: "1a", "5b", "3c". A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "reset mechanism" (two ribs 3b and the guide curves 5a and stop cam 5f) recited in claims 1, 8, 9 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3752

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 1 recites "the shut-off (9) can be moved by rotating the cover (1) from one valve position (17, 16) to the other valve position (16, 17)." The specification recites, on page 5 lines 8-10, "two ribs 3b of the boutton 3 intervene with guide curves 5a molded onto the valve body 5. Through this intervention, the button 3 is moved upward with the support of the spring 7. Stops 5e restrict this movement." The specification nor the drawings provide sufficient details to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention. Additionally, the specification provides no disclosure of the rotation of cover (1) enabling the movement of the valve from postion (16) to postion (17). Such a movement appears to be accomplished by depressing membrane (2) and button (3).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3752

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the stream" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the retroactive force" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "one valve position (19) to another valve position (16)" in lines 6-7. This appears to be a double inclusion of the "first valve position" and the "second valve position" recited in line 5.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "cover" in line 8. This appears to be a double inclusion of the "reset mechanism" recited in line 6.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: button 3.

Above are examples. Applicant is required to ensure full compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3752

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

9. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Bertrand et al. (6,290,147).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 1-7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parkison (3,341,132) in view of Mönch (5,145,114).

Parkison discloses a showerhead with an outer casing 16; a valve body 36; a shut-off 38; a spring 42; a cover 24. Parkison does not disclose a membrane. Mönch discloses a membrane 14. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/856,958

Art Unit: 3752

in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated a membrane to the device of Parkison as taught by Mönch to enclose the shut-off from the environment.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 12. applicant's disclosure. Hayes; Chen; Boesch; Bosio; Knapp; and Yean disclose shower heads having a valve.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-8336. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry C. Yuen can be reached on (703) 308-1946. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7766 for regular communications and (703) 308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Christopher S. Kim

Examiner Art Unit 3752

CK May 29, 2002